

**From:** [Vincent McCrudden](#)  
**To:** [AO Code and Conduct Rules](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Public Opinion of Judicial Misconduct  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 30, 2018 1:28:34 PM

---

Unfortunately I just saw that there was a hearing on the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 today, but that opinions are welcome until November 13, 2018. I have been the poster boy of not only misconduct by Federal Officials, but also by Federal Judges. Unfortunately, there is no real desire to evoke change as all the people allowed to voice their opinion, are usually lawyers who are paid by the abuse of the system. The same could be said for organizations or think tanks who paid on the debate, but offer little relief.

The United States is the most oppressive regime in the history of the world over the last 30 years based on incarceration of its citizens. There is not even close second. Justice Roberts opened up debate on sexual harassment in the Court system earlier this year as a kind of self-serving debate because of the #metoo and #timesup movement. What he should have been concerned about is the largest increase of incarcerated women in the history of the world. A 'report' by hand selected insiders on June 1, 2018 is just another farce of the US Court system. In the report is a look at 1300 reports of judicial misconduct in 2016 and stating none were sexual misconduct of the Courts. What it doesn't state is of the 1300 complaints, how many were successful? My guess is close to zero, about the same percentage of successful defendants in federal Court.

The report states repetitively of a concern of avoiding the lowering of public trust of the judiciary. It's too late for that. Federal Defendants plead guilty now 97% of the time. The system over time has found a way to remove a trier of fact, a jury of its peers. Convictions are near 100%. This quite simply is a fraudulent and faux process. When a defendant is named in an indictment, their name and the allegations are all over the media. When a Judge is complained against, there is no evidence of it anywhere, even the decision itself protects the Judge's identity. You don't get a 97% plea rate and a near 100% conviction rate without Judicial misconduct of Judges blocking and tackling for the Government. Additionally, in civil cases, there is much more evidence of bias and partiality towards the Government in rulings.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 is useless and broken. In the last 20 years, how many cases have been presented and how many cases have been successful in holding a Judge or even Court employee accountable under the rules? The idea of 'self-policing' is just a faux, fraudulent process and not offered anywhere else in society. The Judicial system is broken and the Act which protects "merits related" decisions needs to be overhauled.

[REDACTED]

Luckily for me, I was contacted last year by a Government agency investigating Judicial Misconduct who had become aware of corruption in my cases and complaints. They were notified by at least one whistle blower and possibly additional ones including a Magistrate provided evidence of collusion and corruption.

I don't know when that will be disclosed, but I'm sure it will lower the public confidence of the judiciary.

Regards,

Vincent McCrudden – President  
Project For Government Reform  
[www.pfgr.org](http://www.pfgr.org)