

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2009

| Reporting Jurisdiction | Orders for which Intercepts Installed * | Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile) | Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop) | Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer) | Combination** | Number of Persons | |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | Arrested | Convicted*** |
| TOTAL | 1,764 | 1,720 | 8 | 4 | 32 | 4,537 | 678 |
| FEDERAL | 337 | 329 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1,277 | 123 |
| ARIZONA | | | | | | | |
| MARICOPA | 22 | 19 | - | - | 3 | 435 | 40 |
| PIMA | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 7 | - |
| CALIFORNIA | | | | | | | |
| ALAMEDA | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| CONTRA COSTA | 6 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| FRESNO | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 13 | - |
| IMPERIAL | 11 | 11 | - | - | - | 24 | - |
| KERN | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | 49 | 25 |
| LOS ANGELES | 183 | 183 | - | - | - | 205 | 6 |
| MERCED | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 6 | 2 |
| ORANGE | 55 | 55 | - | - | - | 60 | 2 |
| RIVERSIDE | 60 | 60 | - | - | - | 29 | 7 |
| SACRAMENTO | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| SAN BERNARDINO | NP | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SAN DIEGO | 29 | 29 | - | - | - | 104 | 55 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| SANTA BARBARA | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | 29 | 20 |
| SANTA CLARA | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | 27 | 3 |
| SHASTA | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| STANISLAUS | 5 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 10 | - |
| STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 16 | 2 |
| SUTTER | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| TULARE | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 15 | 11 |
| VENTURA | 25 | 25 | - | - | - | 39 | 13 |
| COLORADO | | | | | | | |
| 1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON) | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER) | 24 | 24 | - | - | - | 67 | 15 |
| 4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO) | 55 | 45 | - | - | 10 | 56 | 2 |
| 6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (LA PLATA) | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 4 | 3 |
| 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER) | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO) | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | 5 | - |
| 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) | 11 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD) | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 12 | - |
| 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA) | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| CONNECTICUT | | | | | | | |
| ROCKY HILL | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2009 (Continued)

| Reporting Jurisdiction | Orders for which Intercepts Installed * | Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile) | Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop) | Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer) | Combination** | Number of Persons | | |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | | | Arrested | Convicted*** | |
| FLORIDA | | | | | | | | |
| 4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL) | 26 | 25 | - | - | 1 | 35 | 22 | |
| 7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA) | 6 | 6 | - | - | - | 24 | - | |
| 9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA) | 15 | 15 | - | - | - | 72 | 2 | |
| 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE) | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE) | 11 | 11 | - | - | - | 36 | 4 | |
| 19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE) | 6 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | |
| GEORGIA | | | | | | | | |
| COBB | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| CORDELE | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| COWETA | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| GWINNETT | 21 | 21 | - | - | - | 133 | - | |
| HOUSTON | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - | |
| MACON | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 11 | - | |
| OGEECHEE | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 37 | 12 | |
| WAYCROSS | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| ILLINOIS | | | | | | | | |
| DUPAGE | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 6 | - | |
| INDIANA | | | | | | | | |
| MARION | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| KANSAS | | | | | | | | |
| SALINE | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 6 | - | |
| MARYLAND | | | | | | | | |
| BALTIMORE CITY | 14 | 14 | - | - | - | 6 | - | |
| HARFORD | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| MASSACHUSETTS | | | | | | | | |
| BRISTOL | NP | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL | 6 | 6 | - | - | - | 16 | - | |
| MINNESOTA | | | | | | | | |
| RAMSEY | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 8 | 5 | |
| MISSISSIPPI | | | | | | | | |
| HINDS | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| LINCOLN | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| WALTHALL | 6 | 6 | - | - | - | 67 | - | |
| NEVADA | | | | | | | | |
| CLARK | 52 | 52 | - | - | - | 40 | 5 | |
| WASHOE | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | |

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2009 (Continued)

| Reporting Jurisdiction | Orders for which Intercepts Installed * | Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile) | Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop) | Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer) | Combination** | Number of Persons | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | | | Arrested | Convicted*** | |
| NEW JERSEY | | | | | | | | |
| ATLANTIC | NP | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| BERGEN | 38 | 37 | - | 1 | - | 92 | 25 | |
| CAMDEN | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 8 | - | |
| CAPE MAY | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 10 | 7 | |
| GLOUCESTER | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| HUDSON | 14 | 14 | - | - | - | 72 | 17 | |
| HUNTERDON | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 13 | - | |
| MERCER | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | 50 | 34 | |
| MIDDLESEX | NP | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| MONMOUTH | 20 | 20 | - | - | - | 78 | - | |
| MORRIS | NP | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| OCEAN | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | 3 | - | |
| PASSAIC | 22 | 22 | - | - | - | 112 | - | |
| SOMERSET | 19 | 18 | - | - | 1 | - | - | |
| STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| UNION | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| WARREN | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NEW YORK | | | | | | | | |
| ALBANY | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 7 | 6 | |
| FRANKLIN | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | 13 | - | |
| KINGS | 17 | 15 | 2 | - | - | 45 | 7 | |
| MONROE | 20 | 18 | 2 | - | - | 12 | 6 | |
| NASSAU | 7 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 45 | 34 | |
| NEW YORK COUNTY | 17 | 15 | 1 | - | 1 | 61 | 19 | |
| NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE | 8 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 116 | 38 | |
| NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU | 103 | 103 | - | - | - | 106 | 5 | |
| ONEIDA | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | |
| ONONDAGA | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 38 | - | |
| QUEENS | 127 | 125 | - | 1 | 1 | 107 | 28 | |
| RENSSELAER | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | |
| SAINT LAWRENCE | NP | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| SARATOGA | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | |
| SUFFOLK | 73 | 73 | - | - | - | 152 | 18 | |
| WESTCHESTER | 15 | 14 | 1 | - | - | 45 | 26 | |
| NORTH CAROLINA | | | | | | | | |
| STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | 38 | - | |
| OHIO | | | | | | | | |
| HAMILTON | NP | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| OKLAHOMA | | | | | | | | |
| STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | 98 | 7 | |
| PENNSYLVANIA | | | | | | | | |
| COLUMBIA | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | |
| LEHIGH | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| MONTGOMERY | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | 11 | 1 | |
| STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL | 32 | 32 | - | - | - | 87 | 4 | |

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2009 (Continued)

| Reporting Jurisdiction | Orders for which Intercepts Installed * | Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile) | Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop) | Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer) | Combination** | Number of Persons | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | Arrested | Convicted*** |
| TENNESSEE | | | | | | | |
| 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM) | 11 | 11 | - | - | - | 14 | - |
| 20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON) | 42 | 42 | - | - | - | 54 | - |
| WISCONSIN | | | | | | | |
| STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | 16 | 4 |
| WINNEBAGO | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | 31 | 9 |
| WYOMING | | | | | | | |
| LARAMIE | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| SWEETWATER | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |

* NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

** Combination refers to installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

*** Convictions resulting from an intercept often do not occur within the same year in which the intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.