

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2007**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,119</b>	<b>1,998</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>4,830</b>	<b>984</b>
<b>FEDERAL</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,745</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>ARIZONA</b>							
MARICOPA	10	10	-	-	-	103	14
PIMA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	13	12	-	-	1	57	4
YUMA	10	10	-	-	-	22	3
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>							
ALAMEDA	3	3	-	-	-	10	3
CONTRA COSTA	4	3	-	-	1	1	-
FRESNO	3	3	-	-	-	4	2
LOS ANGELES	284	284	-	-	-	241	5
ORANGE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	8	8	-	-	-	35	21
SAN BERNARDINO	59	59	-	-	-	20	3
SAN DIEGO	131	126	-	-	5	256	91
SAN FRANCISCO	4	4	-	-	-	1	-
SAN JOAQUIN	1	-	-	-	1	46	28
SAN LUIS OBISPO	4	4	-	-	-	19	15
SAN MATEO	3	3	-	-	-	1	-
SANTA BARBARA	3	3	-	-	-	13	-
SANTA CLARA	1	1	-	-	-	5	-
SOLANO	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
SONOMA	2	2	-	-	-	4	-
STANISLAUS	9	9	-	-	-	11	-
VENTURA	28	28	-	-	-	48	14
<b>COLORADO</b>							
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	12	12	-	-	-	29	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	30	30	-	-	-	30	5
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	2	2	-	-	-	33	3
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	3	3	-	-	-	4	1
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>							
ANSONIA-MILFORD	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	2	2	-	-	-	2	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	6	6	-	-	-	21	-
<b>FLORIDA</b>							
2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEON)	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	20	20	-	-	-	29	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	3	3	-	-	-	32	-
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	7	7	-	-	-	13	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	7	6	1	-	-	48	8

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2007 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons		
						Arrested	Convicted***	
<b>FLORIDA (CONTINUED)</b>								
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH)	17	8	-	-	9	56	-	
14TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BAY)	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
16TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (KEY WEST)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	3	3	-	-	-	3	-	
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	11	11	-	-	-	15	2	
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	26	26	-	-	-	59	28	
<b>GEORGIA</b>								
AUGUSTA	3	3	-	-	-	5	-	
BIBB	1	1	-	-	-	9	-	
COBB	2	-	2	-	-	41	-	
DEKALB	5	5	-	-	-	6	-	
GWINNETT	17	17	-	-	-	52	5	
<b>IDAHO</b>								
CLEARWATER	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	
<b>ILLINOIS</b>								
JOHNSON	1	-	-	-	1	3	2	
<b>MARYLAND</b>								
BALTIMORE	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	
BALTIMORE CITY	42	42	-	-	-	8	-	
FREDERICK	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
HARFORD	14	13	1	-	-	10	-	
HOWARD	6	6	-	-	-	8	-	
WASHINGTON	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>								
BRISTOL	1	1	-	-	-	25	-	
MIDDLESEX	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
NORFOLK	3	2	-	-	1	-	-	
SUFFOLK	4	3	-	-	1	-	-	
<b>MINNESOTA</b>								
HENNEPIN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>NEVADA</b>								
CLARK	21	21	-	-	-	18	7	
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>								
ATLANTIC	4	4	-	-	-	16	3	
BURLINGTON	5	1	-	-	4	40	-	
CAMDEN	5	5	-	-	-	5	-	
CAPE MAY	1	1	-	-	-	7	-	
GLOUCESTER	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	
HUDSON	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2007 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
<b>NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)</b>							
HUNTERDON	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
MERCER	6	4	-	2	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	35	34	-	-	1	176	-
MORRIS	18	-	-	-	18	135	-
PASSAIC	12	12	-	-	-	23	-
SOMERSET	25	23	1	1	-	78	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	18	2	-	-	16	-	-
UNION	17	15	2	-	-	45	15
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>							
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALILLO)	3	3	-	-	-	35	34
<b>NEW YORK</b>							
ALBANY	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
CATTARAUGUS	1	1	-	-	-	19	-
FRANKLIN	7	7	-	-	-	43	31
FULTON	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	7	5	2	-	-	12	4
MONTGOMERY	4	4	-	-	-	28	28
NASSAU	6	5	-	-	1	20	12
NEW YORK	12	10	-	1	1	16	-
NIAGARA	1	1	-	-	-	23	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	14	13	-	-	1	89	35
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	103	98	-	5	-	50	4
ONEIDA	3	3	-	-	-	16	-
ONONDAGA	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
ORANGE	2	1	-	-	1	44	34
QUEENS	229	225	1	3	-	215	128
RICHMOND	2	2	-	-	-	3	-
ROCKLAND	16	16	-	-	-	45	45
SARATOGA	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
SCHENECTADY	1	-	1	-	-	2	2
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	16	-
SUFFOLK	49	49	-	-	-	67	6
WAYNE	8	8	-	-	-	10	-
WESTCHESTER	28	25	1	2	-	23	16
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>							
COLUMBUS	1	-	1	-	-	1	-
PITT	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
WAKE	2	2	-	-	-	19	-
WAYNE	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
<b>OHIO</b>							
LORAIN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	2	-	-	2	42	34

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2007 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons		
						Arrested	Convicted***	
<b>OREGON</b>								
CORVALLIS	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
LANE	2	-	2	-	-	7	-	
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>								
BUCKS	1	1	-	-	-	34	14	
DAUPHIN	23	8	1	-	14	41	23	
LANCASTER	1	1	-	-	-	3	-	
MONTGOMERY	4	4	-	-	-	8	4	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	18	17	-	-	1	58	-	
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	7	-	-	-	53	10	
<b>TENNESSEE</b>								
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SEVIER)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ROANE)	1	1	-	-	-	14	-	
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	17	17	-	-	-	19	3	
<b>TEXAS</b>								
HARRIS	4	4	-	-	-	6	-	
<b>WISCONSIN</b>								
MILWAUKEE	2	1	-	-	1	11	-	
WAUPACA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	

\* NP = No prosecutor's report.

\*\* Combination refers to the number of installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

\*\*\* Convictions resulting from interceptions often do not occur within the same year in which an intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.