

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2009\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,376</b>	<b>1,764</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>3,673</b>	<b>688</b>
FEDERAL	663	337	87	3,077	573
ARIZONA					
MARICOPA	22	22	192	11,728	4,460
PIMA	8	3	159	5,394	1,438
CALIFORNIA					
ALAMEDA	2	2	216	8,671	1,003
CONTRA COSTA	6	6	297	5,804	504
FRESNO	2	2	50	3,997	289
IMPERIAL	13	11	48	2,742	755
KERN	9	9	85	958	239
LOS ANGELES	191	183	140	2,783	347
MERCED	2	2	3	2,541	246
ORANGE	58	55	94	2,069	424
RIVERSIDE	65	60	158	1,807	208
SACRAMENTO	8	8	130	1,869	262
SAN BERNARDINO	141	NP	NP	NP	NP
SAN DIEGO	29	29	136	7,148	1,361
SAN JOAQUIN	5	5	190	8,853	461
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	3	49	5,534	1,016
SANTA BARBARA	5	4	507	4,388	1,577
SANTA CLARA	7	7	197	2,816	313
SHASTA	1	1	74	2,071	257
STANISLAUS	5	5	181	2,544	311
STATE ATTORNEY	3	3	15	714	212
GENERAL					
SUTTER	4	4	15	427	17
TULARE	1	1	417	2,691	798
VENTURA	26	25	34	1,106	324
COLORADO					
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	5	5	17	492	124
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	24	24	70	4,062	501
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	56	55	62	2,844	466
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (LA PLATA)	3	3	55	1,310	315
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	3	3	115	5,613	592
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	7	7	32	765	223
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	12	11	23	1,197	233
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	3	3	30	1,406	285
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	2	2	35	216	66
CONNECTICUT					
ROCKY HILL	3	3	69	633	536

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2009 (Continued)\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
<b>FLORIDA</b>					
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	27	26	60	1,751	129
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	6	6	10	1,950	288
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	16	15	25	2,835	554
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	4	4	233	4,589	1,467
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	17	11	121	3,621	132
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	8	6	242	9,275	260
<b>GEORGIA</b>					
COBB	2	1	211	1,750	928
CORDELE	2	1	263	3,489	263
COWETA	1	1	12	571	118
GWINNETT	22	21	527	16,390	2,504
HOUSTON	1	1	25	5,268	3,793
MACON	2	2	26	1,684	226
OGEECHEE	2	2	2	1,919	1,241
WAYCROSS	2	2	47	2,005	193
<b>ILLINOIS</b>					
DUPAGE	1	1	13	539	163
<b>INDIANA</b>					
MARION	4	4	119	17,302	577
<b>KANSAS</b>					
SALINE	2	2	81	1,330	354
<b>MARYLAND</b>					
BALTIMORE CITY	14	14	50	1,788	296
HARFORD	7	1	20	1,011	178
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>					
BRISTOL	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	6	6	57	2,391	294
<b>MINNESOTA</b>					
RAMSEY	3	3	2	15,714	3,218
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>					
HINDS	4	4	52	2,568	416
LINCOLN	2	2	87	4,480	551
WALTHALL	6	6	49	1,255	166
<b>NEVADA</b>					
CLARK	54	52	205	3,045	432
WASHOE	1	1	7	354	62
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>					
ATLANTIC	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
BERGEN	38	38	39	955	102

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2009 (Continued)\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
<b>NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)</b>					
CAMDEN	10	3	17	1,140	115
CAPE MAY	1	1	14	1,229	269
GLOUCESTER	4	3	-	7	-
HUDSON	15	14	180	2,045	572
HUNTERDON	3	2	3	612	91
MERCER	8	8	138	2,803	864
MIDDLESEX	6	NP	NP	NP	NP
MONMOUTH	27	20	102	2,399	427
MORRIS	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
OCEAN	4	4	48	743	8
PASSAIC	22	22	34	1,582	283
SOMERSET	20	19	95	2,533	1,427
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	21	3	2,905	631	275
UNION	24	5	8	630	107
WARREN	1	1	NR	1,105	NR
<b>NEW YORK</b>					
ALBANY	2	2	15	34,533	4,490
FRANKLIN	9	8	NR	832	68
KINGS	19	17	37	2,441	1,380
MONROE	20	20	40	2,526	211
NASSAU	7	7	11	2,344	680
NEW YORK COUNTY NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	17	17	196	31,116	1,472
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	8	8	238	58,046	4,786
ONEIDA	111	103	21	2,035	348
ONONDAGA	5	1	-	-	-
QUEENS	1	1	390	34,350	4,079
RENSSELAER	129	127	190	2,360	1,729
SAINT LAWRENCE	2	2	18	1,295	950
SARATOGA	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
SUFFOLK	1	1	17	351	190
WESTCHESTER	73	73	100	3,422	973
	18	15	61	4,129	617
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	5	5	21	35,117	1,970
<b>OHIO</b>					
HAMILTON	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	10	137	2,379	436
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>					
COLUMBIA	1	1	1	1	1
LEHIGH	1	1	58	5,743	534
MONTGOMERY	9	8	34	1,036	107
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	36	32	67	1,909	430

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2009 (Continued)\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
<b>TENNESSEE</b>					
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)	13	11	175	2,606	386
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	42	42	119	3,154	259
<b>WISCONSIN</b>					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	4	17	2,070	161
WINNEBAGO	6	4	146	1,588	147
<b>WYOMING</b>					
LARAMIE	1	1	15	2,000	1,400
SWEETWATER	2	2	17	813	47

\* NR = Not reported or could not be determined. NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

\*\* Excludes those reports in which the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, or the number of incriminating intercepts was not reported or could not be determined.