

Table 2.7**U.S. Courts of Appeals—Other Workload in the Courts of Appeals**

Fiscal Year	Authorized Judgeships*	Applications for Interlocutory Appeals Terminated	Pro Se Mandamus Petitions Terminated**	Petitions for Rehearing Filed			Per Judgeship		
				Total	Panel	En Banc	Applications for Interlocutory Appeals	Pro Se Mandamus Petitions**	Petitions for Rehearing
1995	167	217	1,175	8,840	4,777	4,063	1	7	53
2000	167	280	-	9,400	4,950	4,450	2	-	56
2005	167	198	-	8,572	4,105	4,467	1	-	51
2006	167	309	-	9,007	4,345	4,662	2	-	54
2007	167	347	-	8,811	4,213	4,598	2	-	53
2008	166	292	-	8,346	3,491	4,855	2	-	50
2009	167	334	-	8,866	4,431	4,435	2	-	53

Note: This table does not include data for the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

* Public Law No. 110-117, January 7, 2008, transferred from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. The loss of the judgeship in D.C. became effective the date the bill became law, but the effective date of the additional judgeship for the Ninth Circuit was January 21, 2009.

** Effective October 1, 1998, in response to the Prison Litigation Reform Act, reporting procedures were changed to record pro se mandamus petitions for which filing fees were not paid at filing as original proceedings requiring judicial review on the merits. Thus, these pro se mandamus petitions are no longer reported separately, but are now included in the original proceedings category.

Source: Text table from *Annual Report of the Director: Judicial Business of the United States Courts* and Unpublished Table 3.