

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2004**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,710</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1,633</b>	<b>1,341</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>69,980</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1,507</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>FEDERAL</b>	<b>730</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>723</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30,877</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>678</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>ARIZONA</b>																
MARICOPA	1	1	-	-	1	2	30	30	84	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
PIMA	1	-	-	-	1	5	30	12	69	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	2	-	-	8	14	30	30	593	-	-	4	4	-	-	-
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>																
ALAMEDA	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	102	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
IMPERIAL	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	90	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	95	-	5	-	90	30	30	30	3,106	-	-	77	18	-	-	-
ORANGE	20	-	12	-	8	2	30	30	207	-	-	19	1	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	12	2	-	-	12	2	30	30	361	-	-	11	1	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	28	-	-	1	27	46	30	30	2,059	-	-	24	4	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	17	-	-	-	17	6	30	30	526	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
TEHAMA	1	1	-	-	1	-	30	-	21	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>DELAWARE</b>																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	-	-	-	4	-	16	-	58	-	-	3	-	1	-	-
<b>FLORIDA</b>																
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	14	2	-	-	14	11	30	30	602	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	5	-	-	-	5	-	28	-	109	1	-	4	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	-	-	-	1	-	17	-	17	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	6	-	-	-	6	11	30	30	458	1	-	5	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	14	1	1	-	13	7	30	30	463	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	8	-	-	2	6	2	30	30	208	2	-	6	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	24	-	1	-	23	12	30	30	763	-	-	24	-	-	-	-
<b>GEORGIA</b>																
AUGUSTA	2	-	-	-	2	-	23	-	42	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
BIBB	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	41	1	-	2	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	57	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
FULTON	9	-	-	-	9	-	30	-	151	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
GWINNETT	1	-	-	4	1	30	30	54	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	10	-	-	1	9	-	30	-	179	2	-	8	-	-	-	-
<b>ILLINOIS</b>																
CLARK	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	4	-	-	3	1	-	30	-	1	2	-	-	1	1	-	-
PIATT	2	-	-	-	2	-	16	-	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	14	-	-	-	14	1	29	10	95	10	1	-	-	3	-	-

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2004 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>MARYLAND</b>																
BALTIMORE	20	-	-	-	20	1	26	30	388	1	-	19	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	6	-	-	-	6	3	30	30	189	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
CARROLL	4	-	-	-	4	2	30	30	165	1	-	3	-	-	-	-
CECIL	4	-	4	-	-	3	30	30	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>																
ESSEX	8	-	-	-	8	11	11	11	202	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	8	1	-	-	8	2	15	15	150	-	1	7	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	6	-	-	-	6	2	15	15	120	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	1	-	-	-	1	-	15	-	15	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>MINNESOTA</b>																
CLAY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	12	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>																
RANKIN	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	48	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
SIMPSON	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>NEVADA</b>																
CLARK	7	-	5	-	2	7	30	30	85	1	-	5	1	-	-	-
ELKO	1	-	-	-	1	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	13	1	-	-	13	37	10	10	466	1	-	12	-	-	-	-
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>																
BERGEN	23	1	-	-	23	28	20	21	988	-	2	21	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	2	-	-	1	1	-	15	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	5	-	-	-	5	2	30	30	183	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	3	2	3	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-
HUDSON	10	-	-	-	10	11	20	12	296	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	3	-	1	-	2	2	20	10	59	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	42	2	-	-	42	10	22	26	909	-	-	42	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	5	-	-	-	5	6	20	10	119	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	30	-	15	-	15	7	28	30	329	-	-	30	-	-	-	-
UNION	21	1	2	-	19	18	21	18	551	-	-	20	-	1	-	-
<b>NEW YORK</b>																
ALBANY	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	90	2	-	1	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	7	-	-	-	7	2	30	30	233	-	1	6	-	-	-	-
HERKIMER	3	1	-	-	3	1	15	18	63	-	2	1	-	-	-	-
KINGS	11	6	-	1	10	9	30	30	493	-	1	10	-	-	-	-
MONROE	3	-	-	-	3	4	30	30	146	2	-	1	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	3	1	-	-	3	9	30	30	307	-	-	1	2	-	-	-
NEW YORK	11	-	-	-	11	78	30	28	2,132	4	1	6	-	-	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	18	7	-	-	18	52	28	30	1,764	-	2	10	6	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	76	8	-	5	71	32	30	30	2,351	2	-	72	-	2	-	-

**Table 2**  
**Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2004 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application							
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
<b>NEW YORK (CONTINUED)</b>																
ONEIDA	6	3	-	-	6	2	30	30	189	2	1	3	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	2	-	-	-	2	5	30	30	178	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
OSWEGO	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	22	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	136	9	-	1	135	194	26	30	8,196	16	4	115	1	-	-	-
RICHMOND	2	1	-	-	2	3	30	30	108	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	4	-	-	-	4	4	30	30	198	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	8	30	30	151	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	34	6	-	-	34	31	28	30	1,582	7	4	22	1	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	25	6	-	1	24	39	27	30	1,542	-	1	24	-	-	-	-
<b>OHIO</b>																
WASHINGTON	1	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	14	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>																
GARFIELD	1	1	-	-	1	-	30	-	24	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	43	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	14	-	-	-	14	2	30	30	440	1	-	13	-	-	-	-
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>																
ALLEGHENY	8	-	-	-	8	3	23	17	231	1	-	7	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	6	-	-	1	5	1	30	30	97	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	5	1	-	-	5	-	30	-	39	1	-	3	-	1	-	-
PIKE	3	-	-	1	2	-	10	-	2	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	-	-	-	10	2	29	30	269	1	1	8	-	-	-	-
<b>TENNESSEE</b>																
DAVIDSON	36	5	-	-	36	52	30	30	2,228	-	-	36	-	-	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN</b>																
MILWAUKEE	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	17	86	-	-	2	-	-	-	-

\* Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

\*\* Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.